In the matter of the *Commissions of Inquiry Act 1950 Commissions of Inquiry Order (No.1) 2011* Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry

### Second Witness Statement of Peter Baddiley

Annexure "PB2-3"

## CLAYTON UTZ

| Sydney | Melbourne | Brisbane | Perth | Canberra | Darwin | Hong Kong |
|--------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|        |           |          |       |          |        |           |

Email

18 April 2011

Justice C E Holmes Commissioner Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry 400 George Street BRISBANE QLD 4001

Our ref 12415/80116890

Dear Commissioner

#### Queensland Flood Commission of Inquiry - Request by Ipswich City Council (ICC) for Supplementary Statement from Witness, Mr Baddiley (Bureau of Meteorology (BoM))

We refer to the evidence of Mr Davidson (BoM). In responding to a number of questions from Senior Counsel for ICC in relation to aspects of BoM modelling for predicting flood peaks in the Bremer River, Mr Davidson stated that such questions should be directed to Mr Baddiley. Please find set out below a number of issues which ICC has identified which Mr Baddiley may be able to assist with:

1. According to Schedule 1A to the Statement of Robert Ayre (exhibit 17A tendered before the Commission of Inquiry) on 11 January 2011 between 8.00 am and 4.00 pm the Flood Operation Centre (**FOC**) issued 9 directives in relation to releases from the Wivenhoe Dam whereby the volume of water being released increased as follows:

| Release Time | Release Directive No. | Release Volume                      |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 8.00 am      | WD 12                 | $2,753 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ |
| 9.00 am      | WD 13                 | $2,991 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ |
| 12.00 pm     | WD 14                 | $3,667 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ |
| 1.00 pm      | WD 15 and WD 16       | $4,250 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ |
| 2.00 pm      | WD 17 and WD 18       | $4,562 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ |
| 3.00 pm      | WD 19 and WD 20       | 5,167 m <sup>3</sup> per sec        |
| 4.00 pm      | WD 21 and WD 22       | 5,786 m <sup>3</sup> per sec        |

Were these actual releases:

- (a) communicated by FOC to BoM?
- (b) taken into account by BoM in predicting the flood peak for the Bremer River at Ipswich?
- (c) if yes, how were these actual releases taken into account in the BoM modelling?
- 2. Was BoM requested by FOC on 11 January 2011 to model the impact on Brisbane and Ipswich of the following releases from Wivenhoe Dam:

Level 28, Riparian Plaza, 71 Eagle Street, Brisbane QLD 4000, Australia GPO Box 55, Brisbane QLD 4001

# CLAYTON UTZ

|           | YTON UT  | Z                                |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
|-----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sydney    | Melbourne  | Brisbane                         | Perth                      | Canberra                           | Darwin         | Hong Kong     |               |
| Justice C | E Holmes   |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               | 18 April 2011 |
|           | (a) 6,750  | ) m <sup>3</sup> per sec;        |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
|           |  | ) m <sup>3</sup> per sec;        |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
|           |  | ) m <sup>3</sup> per sec;        |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
|           |  | 00 m <sup>3</sup> per sec?       |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 3.        | If yes to any par<br>request?  |                                  | ho from FO                 | OC made the re                     | equest and w   | ho from BoM   | received the  |
| 4.        | Did Mr Baddile   | y undertake the                  | modelling                  | requested by ]                     | FOC?           |               |               |
| 5.        | If yes to 4, what  | t was the result                 | of this mod                | lelling?                           |                |               |               |
| 6.        | Did Mr Baddiley participate in a telephone conference with the 4 dam engineers from FOC at 3.49 pm on 11 January 2011 in respect of this modelling?  |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 7.        | If yes to 6, did Mr Baddiley (or another person from BoM) inform the FOC engineers that a possible maximum release scenario of 10,000 m <sup>3</sup> per second would be of a similar magnitude to the 1893 flood event?   |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 8.        | As to BoM's flo<br>of the Bremer R   | •                                |                            | am on 11 Janu                      | ary 2011, ho   | ow was the 16 | m flood peak  |
| 9.        | Were the Wiver   | hoe releases fa                  | ctored into                | this modelling                     | g?             |               |               |
| 10.       | How does the B its tributaries, th   |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 11.       | Please note that the BoM flood warning issued at 9.29 am on 11 January 2011 predicted that the peak of 16m would be reached "during Wednesday". Can you please explain what is meant by the expression "during Wednesday" - is this intended to refer to any particular time period during Wednesday and, if so, what time period or is it intended to mean any time after midnight on Tuesday?  |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 12.       | If you assume that the Bremer River height at the Ipswich gauge reached 16m at approximately 9 pm on Tuesday 11 January 2011, can you express any opinion on what might have been the reason for the difference in timing between the BoM predicted timing for the 16m height and the actual timing that the Bremer River height reached 16m and, particularly, can you express an opinion on whether the timing was affected by the releases from the Wivenhoe Dam? |                                  |                            |                                    |                |               |               |
| 13.       | As to BoM's flo<br>Ipswich of 22 m<br>the releases fror<br>Brisbane River  | etres, how was<br>n Wivenhoe fac | this peak r<br>ctored into | nodelled eg wa<br>the modelling;   | as it a purely | Bremer Rive   | r event; were |
| 14.       | If BoM knew th<br>4 occasions (fro<br>consideration gi   | m 2,991 m <sup>3</sup> per       | sec to 5,10                | $67 \text{ m}^3 \text{ per sec}$ ) | since the Bo   | M 9.29 am wa  |               |

## CLAYTON UTZ

| Sydney        | Melbourne | Brisbane | Perth | Canberra | Darwin | Hong Kong |               |
|---------------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|---------------|
| Justice C E H | Iolmes    |          |       |          |        |           | 18 April 2011 |

- 15. From the modelling conducted by BoM, what were the major factors that led to the Bremer River flood peak being revised over a period of 7.5 hours from 12.7 metres to 22 metres?
- 16. Was one of those factors the increases in the volume of releases from the Wivenhoe Dam on 11 January 2011?

We note the advice of Counsel Assisting, Mr Callaghan SC, that Mr Baddiley will be called to give evidence. We are content for these issues to be addressed either in examination of Mr Baddiley or, at least initially, by way of a supplementary statement.

Please advise if we can assist or clarify further any of the above matters.

Yours faithfully



Mark Waller, Partner