## Dear Inquiry Members,

It appears we had no warning of the time of arrival of Monday's release and its impact on river level, surely the figures must be known? it was a significant release.

We also had no warning of the arrival time of Tuesday's release, it should have been a lot faster, it made the river roar and was probably timed to arrive with Monday's release causing a fast massive rise of river level. The expected time of the flooding had to be known as did the expected river heights.

When victims are armed with all the facts, like time and rapid increase in height, they can better determine what time they have to save what they can. From discussions that I have had with experts, we could have had a warning of up to 6 hours.

Sincerely,

Albert Devivo

On 22/02/2011, at 7:17 AM, Submissions Flood Commission wrote:

## Dear Mr Devivo

Thank you for your submissions of 20 February 2011 to the Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry.

Information about the Inquiry, including the details of scheduled public hearings, will be made available on the Commission's website <a href="https://www.floodcommission.qld.gov.au">www.floodcommission.qld.gov.au</a>.

From: Albert Devivo

Sent: Sunday, 20 February 2011 1:44 PM

**To:** Submissions Flood Commission

Subject: Flood caused by Monday's release joined by Tuesday's release on the same night

Albert Devivo

Sun Feb 20, 2011

## Dear Inquiry Members,

It may be possible that the releases on Monday and Tuesday arrived in Brisbane at the same time.

On their timing 24-36 hours the small release Monday 9th Jan would have arrived here on Tuesday noon/night.

If the panic release, like a breach in the dam, gets here as they suggest in the Engineering document, 3 hours later, then the first Wivenhoe release arrived here with the second release on

Tuesday night and this fits the graph, a rapid rise in river level due to the sum of 2 releases plus rain.

Sincerely,

Albert Devivo