

[REDACTED]

From: Michael Lincoln [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 9 March 2011 7:50 PM
To: Submissions Flood Commission
Subject: Flood submissions

1. Though I was not flooded I thought caution in the disposal of drinkable water was a reasonable response to the situation at Wivenhoe Dam
2. With no other storage dam available and a recent history of severe drought there was no chance of retrieving the water
3. De-salination plants have been an absolute disaster. Tugan was the epitome of inefficiency. The Victorians have had no joy with theirs finding it an expensive white elephant. They are not an alternative to proper dams.
4. Travistock Dam needs to be revisited. If completed it would inhibit flooding of Gympie and Maryborough. It would mean that the storage of drinking water is not confined to a Brisbane River dam whose original purpose was flood mitigation.
5. The preservation of a natural environment in the Mary River which has been settled for 100 years and was mined for sand for a considerable part of that period is questionable.
6. Conservation as an over-riding principle needs to be re-evaluated. What does one want? People or lungfish? The negation of the environmental report seemed to be received with relief by the State Government as some one else had relieved it of the responsibility.
- &. If Travistock Dam is irretrievable is there no alternative that will not cause enough of a reaction to make the State Government go to water? Note that the Dan between Boonah & Beaudesert is proceeding at an efficient pace.

Michael Lincoln
[REDACTED]